

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/764,803	01/26/2004	Payman Zarkesh-Ha	02-5938	9749
24319 LSI CORPORA	7590 01/07/2008		EXAM	INER
1621 BARBER LANE			KIM, SU C	
MS: D-106 MILPITAS, CA	A 95035		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2823	
	•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	01/07/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/764,803	ZARKESH-HA ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Su C. Kim	2823
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet	vith the correspondence address
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Donsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vire to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO c, cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a) <u></u> ☐	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 O</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal ma	
Disposit	ion of Claims		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or claim(s) are subject to restriction.	wn from consideration.	
Applicat	ion Papers		
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 January 2005</u> is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square drawing(s) be held in abey tion is required if the drawir	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in rity documents have been (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage
2) Notice 3) Information	t(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) tr No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	s Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date : Informal Patent Application

10/764,803 Art Unit: 2823

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, see Applicant argument, filed 10/22/2007, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-24 under 35 U.S.C 102 (b) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Voogel (US 6,362,651) in view of Shigeki et al. (US 2001/0011345).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-5, 8-13, 16-17, & 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over by Voogel (US 6,362,651) in view of Shigeki et al. (US 2001/0011345).

Regarding claims 1, 9, & 17, Voogle discloses a method for providing field programmable platform array units, comprising:

cutting N by M array of platform array units 300 from a field programmable platform array wafer 400 according to an order from a customer, N and M being positive integers, said field programmable platform array wafer 300 having all silicon layers and metal layers already built (Col. 2, lines 65-67, Col. 3 lines1-3) and including a plurality of platform array units (Fig. 4(A)), said plurality of platform array units being

10/764,803

Art Unit: 2823

field programmable by a customer(note: a field programmable gate array) and interconnect 610 between said plurality of platform array units 450(1) & 450(2)being pre-routed on chip (Fig. 6); and packaging and testing (Col.6, lines 59-65) said N by M array of platform array units.

Voogle fails to teach each of said plurality of platform array units including at least one core and at least one processor.

However, Shigeki disclose a field programmable gate array including at least one core and at least one processor (Fig. 1).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant(s) claimed invention was made to provide each of said plurality of platform array units including at least one core and at least one processor, Voogle with each of said plurality of platform array units including at least one core and at least one processor as taught by Shigeki in order to produce efficient process data (paragraph 0008).

Regarding claims 2 & 10, as applied to claims 1 & 9, Voogle and Shigeki in combinations disclose that programming said N by M array of platform array units by said customer (note: all the FPGA are produced for the customer).

Regarding claims 3 & 11, as applied to claims 12 & 10, Voogle and Shigeki in combinations disclose that said programming is performed for at least one of unit specialization, unit role assignment, and inter-unit communications (Shigeki, Fig. 1).

Application/Control Number:

10/764,803 Art Unit: 2823

Regarding claims 4, 12 & 24, as applied to claims 2, 10, & 17, Voogle and Shigeki in combinations disclose that said programming is performed with firmware (Voogle, col. 1 lines 18-26).

Regarding claims 5 & 13, as applied to claims 1 & 9, Voogle and Shigeki in combinations disclose that said N by M array of platform array units are within a single platform (Shigeki, Fig. 1).

Regarding claims 8 & 16, as applied to claims 1& 9, Voogle and Shigeki in combination discloses that storing said field programmable platform array wafer (Voogle, col. 1 lines 18-26).

4. Claims 6 & 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over by Voogel (US 6,362,651) in view of Shigeki et al. (US 2001/0011345) and further in view of Mastro et al. (US 2002/0091977).

Regarding claims 6 & 14, as applied to claims 5 & 13, Voogle and Shigeki in combinations discloses that said single platform

Voogle and Shigeki in combinations fail to teach said single platform is a storage area network (SAN) platform.

However, Mastro suggests said single platform 94 (Fig. 5, FPGA) is a storage area network (paragraph 0067).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant(s) claimed invention was made to provide Voogle and Shigeki in

10/764,803 Art Unit: 2823

combination with said single platform is a storage area network (SAN) platform as taught by Mastro in order to enhance functionality.

5. Claims 7 & 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over by Voogel (US 6,362,651) in view of Shigeki et al. (US 2001/0011345) and further in view of Or-bach (US 2001/0038297)

Regarding claims 7 & 15, as applied to claims 5 & 13, Voogle and Shigeki in combinations disclose said single platform.

Voogle and Shigeki in combinations fail to teach said single platform is a digital signal processing (DSP) platform.

However, Or-bach discloses that said single platform is a digital signal processing (DSP) platform (Fig. 57, note: DSP).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant(s) claimed invention was made to provide Voogle and Shigeki in combinations with said single platform is a digital signal processing (DSP) platform as taught by Or-bach in order to produce highly efficine logic cells and logic functionalities (paragraph 0031).

6. Claims 18 & 20-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over by Voogel (US 6,362,651) in view of Shigeki et al (US 2001/0011345) and further in view of Lee et al. (US 6,222,212).

Application/Control Number:

- 10/764,803 Art Unit: 2823

Regarding claims 18 & 20-23, Voogle and Shigeki in combinations disclose that said semiconductor device includes top pad and said top pad 456 of said semiconductor device are used as a routing layer for the pre-touted interconnect 610 between said plurality of platform array units (Voogle, Fig. 6).

Voogle and Shigeki in combinations fails to teach top pad are aluminum, metal bumps, copper, polysilicon, or silicon layer.

However, Lee discloses that interconnection (routing layer) can be made of aluminum, copper, polycrystalline silicon, or metal bumps 908 (Col. 5, lines 30-61, Fig. 9B).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant(s) claimed invention was made to provide Voogle and Shigeki in combinations with interconnection (routing layer) can be made of aluminum, copper, polycrystalline silicon as taught by Lee in order to enhance electrical conductivity.

7. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over by Voogel (US 6,362,651) in view of Shigeki et al (US 2001/0011345) and further in view of Hung et al. (US 6,396,129).

Regarding claim 19, as applied to claim 18, Voogle and Shigeki in combinations disclose that said semiconductor device.

Voogle and Shigeki in combinations fail to teach encapsulation of lower metal layers of said semiconductor device.

Application/Control Number:

10/764,803

Art Unit: 2823

However, Huang discloses encapsulation 150 of lower copper layer (Fig. 3C, col. 4, lines 20-43).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant(s) claimed invention was made to provide Voogle and Shigeki in combinations with encapsulation of lower copper layer as taught by Haung in order to enhance bonding strength.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Su C. Kim whose telephone number is (571) 272-5972. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 9:00AM to 7:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew S. Smith can be reached on (571) 272-1907. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/764,803

Art Unit: 2823

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Su C Kim Examiner Art Unit 2823

12/28/2007

PRIMARY EXAMINER
WILLIAM DAVID COLEMAN